

CATEGORY	SCORING POINTS	Maximum SCORE
1.0 Relevance of Proposed Grant to PR4I Activity and CAP-F & PR4I Objectives	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • To what extent does the proposed activity engage the private sector in a way that will be seen as useful and interesting to private sector actors? • Provides private sector feedback on policies, programs and needs provided to the government and civil society; • Plausibly leads to increased private sector investments that are a win-win for both agribusiness and smallholder farmers (e.g. by being able to plausibly articulate how the activity could lead to this result); • Increases the government and public's understanding of market opportunities in prioritized value chains. • Increased the government's understanding of steps to take to carry out reforms that will have the effect of increasing investment. • Increases private sector and civil society understanding of and skills for collecting evidence that can be presented to help convince government to reform and consistently implement policy reforms. • Grant application fits in with the national agenda/priorities 	20
2.0 Applicant's Organizational Capability	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • What is the level of relevant working experience of the project team members? • Organizational experience in undertaking policy advocacy or private sector investment-mobilization in agriculture and/or agribusiness • What is the level of institutional experience in the proposed grant activity area? • Is there a clear governance structure of the organization (registered, management, board of directors) • Strategic alliances and networks (not a silo) • Scope of operation and mandate (is the grant proposal aligned to the scope and mandate of the organization) • Compliance to laws of the country: Does the applicant file annual returns to TRA, audited reports? Does the applicant have essential policies such as money laundering policy, anti-corruption policy, value for money policy? Gender policy? Environmental policy? • Staffing- What is the ratio of the number of full time employees vis-à-vis time employees? 	20
3.0	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Are the activities organized in a way to achieve clear 	30

<p>Soundness of the approach and methodology</p>	<p>goal/specific objectives and clear deliverables strategically?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Is there logic in the implementation of the proposed activities? • Is there a realistic and feasible set of activities and deliverables?) • Is the approach and methodology Clear and sound? • Replicability and/or sustainability of the initiative (can the policy come off the shelf and see life) • Creativity and innovation of proposal 	
<p>4.0 Potential for Impact</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • How likely is the approach to lead to either investment mobilization and/or policy reform that will lead to investment mobilization? • Are the proposed results tangible and measurable? • Progress can be made/achieved within the grant timeframe of 6 months • Impact on public sector, private sector, economic, social, environmental impacts (matches statutory instruments: policy Impact review) • In proposal, ask applicants to clearly indicate the short and long-term impact of receiving the grant. 	<p>30</p>